

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•			
Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/483,062 01/14/2000		David B. Quinones	AND1P418	7850	
	29838	7590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER		
		OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP (ACCENTURE)			EL HADY, NABIL M	
	•	VII, SUITE 3300 TH SEVENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609			2154	ILF	
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	<i>,</i> -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/483,062	QUINONES ET AL.			
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nabil M El-Hady	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments regarding claims 1-18 are not persuasive.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 12.					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
		Elfold			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 10. Other: the concept of updating and improving an APS's application infrastructure is similar and/or obvious from the concept of updating and improving the computer software as disclosed by Cheng. The use of a knowledge database to analyze and improve the infrastructure of the system (whether network structure or application structure) is conceptually disclosed by Cooper, and the combined teachings of Cheng and cooper obviously present the claimed limitations and is motivated by relying on designed rules to select required system improvements..